



ehponline.org

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PERSPECTIVES

Predictors and Variability of Urinary Paraben Concentrations in Men and Women, Including before and during Pregnancy

Kristen W. Smith, Joe M. Braun, Paige L. Williams,
Shelley Ehrlich, Katharine F. Correia, Antonia M. Calafat,
Xiaoyun Ye, Jennifer Ford, Myra Keller,
John D. Meeker, Russ Hauser

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104614>

Online 21 June 2012



NIEHS

National Institute of
Environmental Health Sciences

National Institutes of Health
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

**Predictors and Variability of Urinary Paraben Concentrations in Men and Women,
Including before and during Pregnancy**

Kristen W. Smith¹, Joe M. Braun¹, Paige L. Williams², Shelley Ehrlich¹, Katharine F. Correia³,
Antonia M. Calafat⁴, Xiaoyun Ye⁴, Jennifer Ford¹, Myra Keller¹, John D. Meeker⁵, Russ
Hauser^{1,6}

¹Department of Environmental Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston MA

²Department of Biostatistics, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston MA

³Division of Reproductive Medicine, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive
Biology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

⁴National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta,
GA

⁵Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

⁶Vincent Memorial Obstetrics and Gynecology Service, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston,
MA

Address correspondence to:

Russ Hauser

665 Huntington Avenue

Building I, 14th Floor

Boston, MA 02115

Phone: 617-432-3326

Fax : 617-432-0219

rhauser@hsph.harvard.edu

Running Title: Predictors and Variability of Parabens

Key Words: Biomarker, Exposure science, Female, Male, Parabens, Partners, Predictors, Pregnancy, Variability

Acknowledgments and Grant Information: Work supported by grants ES009718, ES000002, and T32ES007069 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health (NIH). The authors gratefully acknowledge Xiaoliu Zhou, Ryan Hennings, Amber Bishop, Tao Jia (CDC, Atlanta, GA) for measuring the urinary concentrations of the parabens. Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Competing Financial Interests: None

Abbreviations:

ACOG	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
BP	Butyl Paraben
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
EP	Ethyl Paraben
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GM	Geometric mean
HSPH	Harvard School of Public Health
ICC	Intraclass correlation coefficient
IQR	Interquartile range
LOD	Limit of detection
MGH	Massachusetts General Hospital
MP	Methyl Paraben
PP	Propyl Paraben
PPV	Positive predictive value
SG	Specific gravity

Abstract

Background: Parabens are suspected endocrine disruptors and ubiquitous preservatives used in personal care products, pharmaceuticals, and foods. No studies have assessed the variability of parabens in women, including during pregnancy.

Objective: Evaluate predictors and variability of urinary paraben concentrations.

Methods: We measured urinary concentrations of methyl- (MP), propyl- (PP), and butyl-paraben (BP) among couples from a fertility center. Mixed-effects regression models were fit to examine demographic predictors of paraben concentrations and to calculate intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs).

Results: Between 2005 and 2010, we collected 2,721 spot urine samples from 245 men and 408 women. The median concentrations were 112 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (MP), 24.2 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (PP), and 0.70 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (BP). Urinary MP and PP concentrations were 4.6- and 7.8-times higher in women than men, respectively, and concentrations of both MP and PP were 3.8-times higher in African Americans than Caucasians. MP and PP concentrations were slightly more variable in women (ICC=0.42, 0.43) than men (ICC=0.54, 0.51), and were weakly correlated between partners ($r=0.27\text{-}0.32$). Among 129 pregnant women, urinary paraben concentrations were 25-45% lower during pregnancy than before pregnancy, and MP and PP concentrations were more variable (ICCs of 0.38 and 0.36 compared with 0.46 and 0.44, respectively).

Conclusions: Urinary paraben concentrations were more variable in women compared to men, and during pregnancy compared to before pregnancy. However, results for this study population suggest that a single urine sample may reasonably represent an individual's exposure over several months, and that a single sample collected during pregnancy may reasonably classify gestational exposure.

Introduction

Parabens are a family of esters of *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid used as antimicrobial preservatives in multiple products including personal care products, pharmaceuticals, and foods (Andersen 2008; NTP 2005; Orth 1980), and several parabens are often used in combination (Andersen 2008; Soni et al. 2005). Exposure may occur through ingestion, inhalation, or dermal absorption. Following excretion, the parent compounds can be measured in urine and have been shown to be valid biomarkers of exposure (Ye et al. 2006a). For example, measurable levels of several parabens have been found in the general US population (Calafat et al. 2010; Ye et al. 2006a). Methyl- (MP) and propyl-paraben (PP) have been detected in the urine of over 92% of a representative sample of the US population participating in the 2005-2006 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), whereas butyl-paraben (BP) was detected in 47% of the urine samples tested (Calafat et al. 2010).

Parabens are suspected endocrine disruptors that are weakly estrogenic (Golden et al. 2005; Routledge et al. 1998; Soni et al. 2005) and anti-androgenic (Darbre and Harvey 2008), although their level of toxicity is thought to be low (Golden et al. 2005; Soni et al. 2005). Based on limited toxicological data, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) designated MP and PP as generally recognized as safe (FDA 2006). Limited animal studies have reported adverse effects of some parabens on male (Kang et al. 2002; Oishi 2001, 2002a, b) and female (Vo et al. 2010; Kang et al. 2002; Taxvig et al. 2008) reproductive and endocrine function, although others have not (Hoberman et al. 2008; Shaw and deCatanzaro 2009). Human data on the reproductive health effects of paraben exposure are limited, with one study reporting a positive association of MP and BP urinary concentrations with sperm DNA damage (Meeker et al. 2010). As far as we know, no human studies have reported evidence of female reproductive health effects or

developmental effects associated with *in utero* exposure. One previous study examined the temporal variability of paraben exposure in men (Meeker et al. 2010). However, we are unaware of any studies which have examined variability in women before or during pregnancy.

Understanding urinary paraben variability is important because parabens are excreted within hours after exposure (Janjua et al. 2008), whereas health effects are likely related to recurrent exposures that take place over time. Also, because the fetus may be especially vulnerable to *in utero* exposures, it is important to assess gestational exposure and its variability during pregnancy.

Given the high detection frequency of some parabens in the US population (Calafat et al. 2010), the objectives of this study were to evaluate the variability and demographic predictors of urinary paraben concentrations in 653 adult men and women, some of whom were partners. Specifically, our objectives were to 1) characterize urinary paraben concentrations among study participants; 2) evaluate differences in urinary paraben concentrations by demographic factors (age, sex, and race); and 3) evaluate the variability of urinary paraben concentrations among men and women, and variability before and during pregnancy in a sub-set of women who became pregnant during study follow-up.

Methods

Subjects. Participants were male and female patients (some of whom were couples) from the Fertility Center at the Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) who were recruited into a prospective cohort study on environmental risk factors for reproductive health and contributed at least one urine sample for measuring environmental chemicals, including parabens. All patients over 18 years of age seeking infertility evaluation or treatment at the MGH Fertility Center were

eligible to participate and approximately 60% consented. We recruited participants between December 2004 and October 2010 and followed them from study entry until discontinuation of fertility treatment, a live birth, or loss to follow-up. The Human Studies Institutional Review Boards of the MGH, Harvard School of Public Health (HSPH), and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) approved the study. Participants signed an informed consent after the study procedures were explained by a research nurse and all questions were answered.

Urine sample collection. We collected a spot urine sample from study participants at the time of recruitment, at subsequent visits during infertility treatment cycles, and, if applicable, during pregnancy. Convenience (spot) samples were collected between August 2005 and November 2010. Urine samples collected prior to August 2005 were not analyzed for parabens since these chemicals were added to the study protocol after that date. Urine was collected in a sterile polypropylene cup. After measuring specific gravity (SG) using a handheld refractometer (National Instrument Company, Inc., Baltimore, MD, USA), the urine was divided into aliquots and frozen at -80°C. Samples were shipped on dry ice overnight to the CDC (Atlanta, GA, USA), where concentrations of total (free + conjugated) MP, PP, and BP were measured using on-line solid phase extraction-high performance liquid chromatography-isotope dilution tandem mass spectrometry as previously reported (Ye et al. 2006b). The limits of detection (LOD) were 1.0 µg/L for MP and 0.2 µg/L for PP and BP.

Demographic predictors of paraben concentrations. Information on demographic factors of interest, collected through nurse administered and take-home questionnaires, included sex, race and age, which were previously shown to be associated with urinary paraben concentrations in

the general population (Calafat et al. 2010). Race was categorized as ‘Caucasian’, ‘African-American’, ‘Asian’, and ‘Other’. We also examined urinary concentrations of parabens according to body mass index (BMI) categorized as underweight ($<18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$), normal ($18.5\text{--}24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$), overweight ($25\text{--}29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and obese ($\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$). Weight and height were measured by a research nurse at study entry.

Statistical analysis. We evaluated demographic characteristics of male and female study participants (means and percentages). We report the distribution of urinary paraben concentrations for all individual samples, and also report the distribution of within-person geometric mean (GM) values since the number of urine samples from each participant varied and within-person concentrations were log-normally distributed. These data are uncorrected for SG to allow comparison with other studies.

We replaced paraben concentrations less than the LOD with LOD divided by the square root of two (Hornung and Reed 1990). We calculated the Spearman correlation between the different parabens. We corrected the urinary paraben concentrations for SG using a modification of a previously described formula: $P_c = P[(1.016 - 1)/SG - 1]$, where P_c is the SG-corrected paraben concentration ($\mu\text{g/L}$), 1.016 is the mean SG for the samples examined, and P is the measured paraben concentration ($\mu\text{g/L}$) (Duty et al. 2005). Natural log-transformed SG-corrected paraben concentrations were used as the outcome in all statistical models. We excluded BP from further statistical analyses including both males and females due to a low detection frequency (65% detected).

We fit linear mixed effects models to estimate associations of urinary MP and PP concentrations ($\mu\text{g/L}$ urine) with age, sex, race, and BMI, with each paraben modeled separately.

We included a random effect for subject in the models to account for correlation among repeat samples collected on the same individual over time. Sex, race, and BMI were included as fixed effects, while age at urine collection was included as a time varying factor. Using step-wise backward elimination, we retained covariates with a p-value <0.1 . Final models included sex, race and BMI. The parameter estimates were exponentiated to estimate the difference in paraben concentrations relative to the reference category of each predictor variable.

To determine if couples have similar patterns of paraben exposure, we calculated Spearman correlation coefficients for within-person GM paraben concentrations between partners, as well as for paraben concentrations between partners with urine samples that were collected on the same day (time-matched).

To examine the reproducibility of urinary MP and PP concentrations, we calculated intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) using SAS PROC MIXED with a random effect for subject for participants who provided at least two urine samples. The ICC is calculated as the ratio of between-person variability to total variability (total variability = between-person + within-person variability). ICCs closer to zero indicate less reproducibility (large within-person variability) and ICCs closer to one indicate higher reproducibility (low within-person variability). Rosner et al (1995) defined an ICC less than 0.4 as indicating poor reproducibility, an ICC between 0.4 and less than 0.75 as indicating fair to good reproducibility, and an ICC greater than or equal to 0.75 as indicating excellent reproducibility.

Subset analysis of pregnant women. To compare the variability of urinary paraben concentrations before and during pregnancy, we evaluated a subset of women who became pregnant during follow-up and had provided at least two pre-pregnancy and at least two

pregnancy spot urine samples. An intrauterine pregnancy was defined by the presence of a fetal heart beat detected by transvaginal ultrasound. We assigned urine samples to a trimester based on the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) definition: 1st trimester: 0-13.9 weeks gestation; 2nd trimester: 14.0-28.0 weeks; and 3rd trimester: 28.1+ weeks (ACOG 2011). We assigned the gestational week of the urine sample collection using the estimated date of conception, which was defined as the expected date of delivery minus 266 days. We estimated the delivery date using three dating methods (in order of preference if more than one was available): 1) oocyte retrieval date as recorded from medical records; 2) crown rump length as measured by first trimester ultrasound; or 3) women's reported date of last menstrual period.

We calculated the within-woman GM for pre-pregnancy and pregnancy urinary paraben concentrations and report the median and 25th/75th percentiles (interquartile range, IQR). We also report urinary paraben concentrations (median and IQR) for samples collected in each trimester of pregnancy. We estimated the Spearman correlation between the GM paraben concentrations before and during pregnancy.

We fit linear mixed effects models with a random effect for subject to estimate the change in urinary paraben concentrations before and during pregnancy. First, we used pregnancy status (before versus during) to estimate the difference in urinary paraben concentrations during pregnancy as compared to before pregnancy. Second, restricting to urine samples collected during pregnancy, we estimated the change in urinary paraben concentrations over continuous time in weeks since conception. We exponentiated the parameter estimates to estimate the percent change in the paraben concentration per week since conception. We evaluated the reproducibility of urinary MP, PP, and BP concentrations before and during pregnancy by calculating the ICCs for samples collected during each time period.

Finally, we conducted a classification analysis (Hauser et al. 2004; Mahalingaiah et al. 2008) using the GM of the 2 or 3 urine samples collected during pregnancy as the gold-standard exposure measure. We divided this GM summary exposure measure into tertiles, as well as each trimester-specific concentration (using trimester-specific tertile cutpoints). We calculated the sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value (PPV) of each trimester-specific paraben concentration to correctly classify a woman into the highest exposure tertile (based on the gold-standard). To minimize bias in this analysis we excluded women with all of their urine samples collected in the same trimester (N=3 women). Among women with two samples collected in the same trimester (that also had one other sample collected in another trimester) we only included the first of the two samples collected in the same trimester (N=4 women). In a second analysis restricted to women with one urine sample collected in each trimester, we counted the number of women that remained in the same exposure tertile over the course of pregnancy (Braun et al. 2012). Each woman could have either zero, two, or all three urine samples remaining in the same tertile during pregnancy. We conducted all statistical analyses using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). We made no adjustment for multiple comparisons.

Results

We measured urinary paraben concentrations in 2,721 spot samples collected from 653 male and female participants. Participants contributed 4 urine samples on average (median=3), ranging from 1 (141 participants) to 19 (2 participants). 408 women contributed 2,128 samples and 245 men contributed 593 samples. Seven urine samples had missing SG values and three urine samples had implausible SG values (>1.04) that were set to missing. There were 226 couples, of which 197 couples provided at least one pair of time-matched samples (both partners'

urine samples collected on the same day). On average, each couple had 2 pairs of samples (median=2) and there were 419 pairs of time-matched samples ranging from 1 (82 couples) to 7 pairs (1 couple). Due to missing SG data from one subject, one couple was excluded from this analysis (final N was 225).

Participating men and women were primarily Caucasian, ranged in age from 21 to 57 years (mean: 36 years; SD: 4.8 years), had a BMI in the normal to overweight range, and were highly educated (Table 1).

MP and PP were detected in over 90% of samples collected from men and women, whereas BP was detected in 74% of samples from women and 36% of samples from men (Table 2). The median paraben concentrations using both the individual samples and the within-subject GM increased in the following order: MP > PP > BP, and were higher in women compared to men, and higher among African Americans compared to Caucasians (Table 2). There was a strong correlation between MP and PP (Spearman $r=0.86$) and a moderate correlation for MP and BP (Spearman $r=0.49$) and PP and BP (Spearman $r=0.47$).

In multivariable regression models, sex, race, and BMI were included as predictors of urinary concentrations of MP and PP. Concentrations of MP and PP were 4.55 (95% CI: 3.73, 5.56) and 7.81 (95% CI: 6.00, 10.2) times higher in women compared with men, and were 3.84 (95% CI: 2.40, 6.13) and 3.80 (95% CI: 2.05, 7.06) times higher in African Americans compared with Caucasians (Table 3). Concentrations of MP and PP were 0.79 (95% CI: 0.61, 1.01) and 0.77 (95% CI: 0.55, 1.07) times lower in obese participants (BMI ≥ 30) compared to participants with a normal BMI (18.5-24.9) (Table 3).

Among 225 couples, correlations of MP ($r = 0.27$) and PP ($r = 0.32$) between partners were relatively weak. Among 196 couples with urine samples collected on the same day the correlations were similar for MP ($r = 0.28$) and PP ($r = 0.33$).

A total of 511 study participants (346 females and 165 males) provided more than one urine sample (2,059 female and 513 male samples) and contributed to the ICC calculations. The time between collection of the first and last urine sample for each study participant ranged from 2 to 1,273 days with a mean (SD) of 271.5 (232.6) days. Urinary paraben concentrations exhibited slightly higher within-person variability among female (ICC: MP=0.42, PP=0.43) than male study participants (ICC: MP=0.54, PP=0.51). Among women who became pregnant during study follow-up, the pre-pregnancy ICCs were similar to women overall (ICC: MP=0.46, PP=0.44).

During study follow-up, 129 women became pregnant, resulting in: 124 live births; 3 still births (absence of fetal heart beat after 20 weeks gestation); and 2 women were lost to follow-up. The 129 women provided 912 urine samples: 575 samples before pregnancy (2-14 samples per woman) and 337 samples during pregnancy (2-3 samples per woman). On average, first, second, and third trimester urine samples were collected at gestational weeks 5.8 (range: 3-13.6), 20.6 (range: 14.9-27.0), and 33.5 (range: 28.4-37.6), respectively. Women generally provided urine samples in different trimesters, although 7 women provided 2 urine samples during the first trimester. One woman was excluded from the analysis due to missing SG data, and another because of a missing expected date of delivery. Two pre-pregnancy urine samples were excluded from the analysis due to missing SG data. If a patient re-enrolled in the study and became pregnant a second time, only the first pregnancy was included in this analysis (N=3).

The detection frequencies for MP and PP were similar before and during pregnancy (MP: both 100%; PP: pre-pregnancy: 98%, pregnancy: 99%), but more samples had detectable BP before pregnancy (79%) than during pregnancy (70%). Within-person GM urinary MP, PP, and BP concentrations were lower during pregnancy compared to before pregnancy (Table 4), with moderate correlations before and during pregnancy (Spearman $r=0.55$, 0.56 , 0.55 , respectively). Before pregnancy, the ICCs were 0.46 (MP), 0.44 (PP), and 0.49 (BP), but were lower or similar during pregnancy (MP= 0.38 , PP= 0.36 , BP= 0.48).

Median urinary paraben concentrations (with and without SG correction) were lower for the 2nd and 3rd trimesters than the 1st trimester (see Supplemental Material, Table S1). Estimates from mixed effects regression models restricted to samples collected during pregnancy suggested a decrease in urinary paraben concentrations with each additional week of pregnancy for MP (- 0.9% ; 95% CI: -2.0% , 0.3%) and PP (-1.2% ; 95% CI: -2.7% , 0.2%), but not for BP (-0.2% ; 95% CI: -1.5% , 1.1%).

Overall, among 126 women with 2-3 urine samples collected in separate trimesters, the 1st and 2nd trimester urinary MP concentrations, 2nd trimester PP concentrations, and 3rd trimester BP concentrations appeared to be the most accurate for classifying gestational exposure based on the sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value (PPV) for the probability that the overall GM would be in the highest tertile given a trimester-specific urine sample in the highest tertile (Table 5). Among women with a urine sample from each trimester of pregnancy ($N=75$), at least 85% remained in the same urinary paraben exposure tertile for at least 2 trimesters (see Supplemental Material, Table S2 and Supplemental Material, Table S3 for urinary paraben exposure tertile cutpoints).

Discussion

Similar to a previous study evaluating paraben exposure in the general US population (Calafat et al. 2010), concentrations of parabens in this study were highest for MP followed by PP and then BP. MP and PP were highly correlated in our study, suggesting a common source of exposure, while their correlation with BP was lower, suggesting fewer common exposure sources. MP and PP are the most commonly used parabens (Soni et al. 2005), and are often used in products such as foods or cosmetics in combination (Soni et al. 2005), whereas BP is less widely used. Ethyl-paraben (EP) was not measured in this study due to relatively low detection rates compared to other parabens in the US population (Calafat et al. 2010).

Urinary MP and PP concentrations were more than 4 times higher in women compared to men, and more than 3 times higher among African Americans compared to Caucasians. These results were similar to a previous study among the general US population (Calafat et al. 2010) that also found that females had significantly higher concentrations of MP and PP compared to males, and that non-Hispanic blacks had significantly higher concentrations of MP and PP compared to non-Hispanic whites. As noted by Calafat et al (2010) this relationship could be due to product use or pharmacokinetic differences between males/females and African Americans/Caucasians. The low proportion of non-Caucasians in our sample limited our ability to precisely estimate race-specific values. MP and PP concentrations were lower among obese participants than participants with a normal BMI. This suggests that pharmacokinetic differences may contribute to variation in urinary paraben concentrations or that individuals with a higher BMI have different exposure profiles with regard to personal care products, medications, or food. These results are consistent with a previous report of an inverse relationship between BMI and

urinary parabens in males (Meeker et al. 2010). The 82 men from the previous report were recruited from the same fertility center but there was no overlap with the current study sample.

Correlations of MP and PP concentrations between partners were low, including correlations between paired urine samples collected on the same day. This may reflect differences in diet and the use of different personal care products and medications.

One previous study reported data on temporal variability of urinary parabens for 82 men (Meeker et al. 2010) but we are not aware of any previous studies reporting the variability of urinary paraben concentrations in women. Among men and women there was moderate within-person variability in MP and PP, with slightly more variability among women as compared to men. Potential explanations for the higher variability in women may be the collection of some urine samples during pregnancy, and also possibly changes in personal care product use over time.

Among women who became pregnant during follow-up, paraben concentrations were lower during pregnancy than before pregnancy. There also was higher within-woman variability of MP and PP urinary concentrations during pregnancy than before pregnancy, and a suggestive decrease in paraben concentrations with each additional week of pregnancy. While understudied, it is possible that parabens may affect fetal growth. If this were the case, gestational age estimated using crown rump length could be less accurate among women with higher urinary paraben concentrations, potentially biasing estimates of the change in paraben concentrations with each additional week of pregnancy.

Differences in urinary paraben concentrations before and during pregnancy, and increases in within-woman variability for MP and PP, could be due to changes in the use of personal care products and medications, or food consumption during pregnancy. Although women

participating in this study may have chosen to change their habits once they became pregnant, results from this study population may not be generalizable to pregnant women overall since our participants were primarily Caucasian, older, and more highly educated than the general population of pregnant women. However, differences also could reflect physiological changes that may affect absorption, distribution, metabolism, and/or excretion of parabens during pregnancy (Woodruff et al. 2011).

Based on the fair reproducibility of urinary paraben concentrations among men and women (ICCs for MP and PP ranged from 0.42-0.54), a single urine sample may reasonably represent an individual's exposure over several months. Due to the design of the parent research project, the time period over which samples were collected varied widely by study participant and ranged from days to a few years. Although it would be ideal to collect multiple urine samples to evaluate gestational exposure given the low to moderate ICCs (MP=0.38, PP=0.36, BP=0.48), the accuracy of trimester specific paraben concentrations in classifying gestational exposure into the highest tertile (see Table 5), as well as the high proportion of women remaining in the same exposure tertile for at least two trimesters of pregnancy (>85%), indicate that a urine sample collected anytime during pregnancy may reasonably classify gestational exposure. However, a limitation of the classification analysis is that the gold-standard exposure measure was derived from only 2 – 3 trimester-specific concentrations for each woman.

Although we collected multiple urine samples from women and their partners, it is unlikely that we captured all of the urinary paraben variability. Similar to other non-persistent compounds for which exposure is episodic in nature (Mahalingaiah et al. 2008; Preau et al. 2010), paraben concentrations may fluctuate throughout the day, adding additional within-person

variability that could not be accounted for in this study. Also, the generalizability of our findings may be limited as our study population was primarily Caucasian and highly educated.

These findings suggest that urinary paraben concentrations differ according to demographic factors and pregnancy status in our study population. In addition, our results suggest that a single urine sample may reasonably represent an individual's exposure over several months, and that a single urine sample collected during pregnancy may reasonably classify gestational exposure in this group of participants.

References

- ACOG (American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists). 2011. ACOG committee on coding and nomenclature document. Available: http://www.acog.org/from_home/departments/coding/terminations-abortion.pdf [accessed 14 July 2011].
- Andersen FA. 2008. Final amended report on the safety assessment of Methylparaben, Ethylparaben, Propylparaben, Isopropylparaben, Butylparaben, Isobutylparaben, and Benzylparaben as used in cosmetic products. *Int J Toxicol* 27 Suppl 4:1-82.
- Braun JM, Smith KW, Williams PL, Calafat AM, Berry K, Ehrlich S, et al. 2012. Variability of urinary phthalate metabolite and bisphenol A concentrations before and during pregnancy. *Environ Health Perspect* 120(5):739–745.
- Calafat AM, Ye X, Wong LY, Bishop AM, Needham LL. 2010. Urinary concentrations of four parabens in the U.S. population: NHANES 2005-2006. *Environ Health Perspect* 118(5):679-685.
- Darbre PD, Harvey PW. 2008. Paraben esters: review of recent studies of endocrine toxicity, absorption, esterase and human exposure, and discussion of potential human health risks. *J Appl Toxicol* 28(5):561-578.
- Duty SM, Ackerman RM, Calafat AM, Hauser R. 2005. Personal care product use predicts urinary concentrations of some phthalate monoesters. *Environ Health Perspect* 113(11):1530-1535.
- FDA (Food and Drug Administration). 2006. GRAS Substances (SCOGS) Database. Available: <http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodIngredientsPackaging/GenerallyRecognizedasSafeGRAS/GRASSubstancesSCOGSDatabase/default.htm> [accessed 13 December 2011].
- Golden R, Gandy J, Vollmer G. 2005. A review of the endocrine activity of parabens and implications for potential risks to human health. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 35(5):435-458.
- Hauser R, Meeker JD, Park S, Silva MJ, Calafat AM. 2004. Temporal variability of urinary phthalate metabolite levels in men of reproductive age. *Environ Health Perspect* 112(17):1734-1740.

Hoberman AM, Schreur DK, Leazer T, Daston GP, Carthew P, Re T, et al. 2008. Lack of effect of butylparaben and methylparaben on the reproductive system in male rats. *Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol* 83(2):123-33.

Hornung R, Reed L. 1990. Estimation of average concentration in the presence of nondetectable values. *App Occup Environ Hyg* 5:46-51.

Janjua NR, Frederiksen H, Skakkebaek NE, Wulf HC, Andersson AM. 2008. Urinary excretion of phthalates and paraben after repeated whole-body topical application in humans. *Int J Androl* 31(2):118-130.

Kang KS, Che JH, Ryu DY, Kim TW, Li GX, Lee YS. 2002. Decreased sperm number and motile activity on the F1 offspring maternally exposed to butyl p-hydroxybenzoic acid (butyl paraben). *J Vet Med Sci* 64(3):227-235.

Mahalingaiah S, Meeker JD, Pearson KR, Calafat AM, Ye X, Petrozza J, et al. 2008. Temporal variability and predictors of urinary bisphenol A concentrations in men and women. *Environ Health Perspect* 116(2):173-178.

Meeker JD, Yang T, Ye X, Calafat AM, Hauser R. 2010. Urinary concentrations of parabens and serum hormone levels, semen quality parameters, and sperm DNA damage. *Environ Health Perspect* 119(2):252-257.

NTP (National Toxicology Program). 2005. Butylparaben [CAS No. 94-26-8] review of toxicological literature. NTP/National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health. Integrated Laboratory Systems, Inc., Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. Available: http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/chem_background/exsumpdf/butylparaben.pdf [accessed 29 August 2011].

Oishi S. 2001. Effects of butylparaben on the male reproductive system in rats. *Toxicol Ind Health* 17(1):31-39.

Oishi S. 2002a. Effects of butyl paraben on the male reproductive system in mice. *Arch Toxicol* 76(7):423-429.

Oishi S. 2002b. Effects of propyl paraben on the male reproductive system. *Food Chem Toxicol* 40(12):1807-1813.

- Orth DS. 1980. Use of parabens as cosmetic preservatives. *Int J Dermatol* 19(9):504-505.
- Preau JL, Jr., Wong LY, Silva MJ, Needham LL, Calafat AM. 2010. Variability over 1 week in the urinary concentrations of metabolites of diethyl phthalate and di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate among eight adults: an observational study. *Environ Health Perspect* 118(12):1748-1754.
- Rosner B. 1995. *Fundamentals of Biostatistics*. 4th ed. Belmont:Duxbury Press.
- Routledge EJ, Parker J, Odum J, Ashby J, Sumpter JP. 1998. Some alkyl hydroxy benzoate preservatives (parabens) are estrogenic. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 153(1):12-19.
- Shaw J, deCatanzaro D. 2009. Estrogenicity of parabens revisited: impact of parabens on early pregnancy and an uterotrophic assay in mice. *Reprod Toxicol* 28(1): 26-31.
- Soni MG, Carabin IG, Burdock GA. 2005. Safety assessment of esters of p-hydroxybenzoic acid (parabens). *Food Chem Toxicol* 43(7):985-1015.
- Taxvig C, Vinggaard AM, Hass U, Axelstad M, Boberg J, Hansen PR, et al. 2008. Do parabens have the ability to interfere with steroidogenesis? *Toxicol Sci* 106(1): 206-213
- Vo TT, Yoo YM, Choi KC, Jeung EB. 2010. Potential estrogenic effect(s) of parabens at the prepubertal stage of a postnatal female rat model. *Reprod Toxicol* 29(3): 306-316.
- Woodruff TJ, Zota AR, Schwartz JM. 2011. Environmental chemicals in pregnant women in the United States: NHANES 2003-2004. *Environ Health Perspect* 119:878-885.
- Ye X, Bishop AM, Reidy JA, Needham LL, Calafat AM. 2006a. Parabens as urinary biomarkers of exposure in humans. *Environ Health Perspect* 114(12):1843-1846.
- Ye X, Kuklennyik Z, Bishop AM, Needham LL, Calafat AM. 2006b. Quantification of the urinary concentrations of parabens in humans by on-line solid phase extraction-high performance liquid chromatography-isotope dilution tandem mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 844(1):53-59.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of study participants (N=653)

Characteristic	All subjects (n=653)	Females (n=408)	Males (n=245)
Age at enrollment [years (mean \pm SD)] (range)	36.0 \pm 4.8 (20.9 - 56.8)	35.7 \pm 4.2 (20.9 - 46.7)	36.5 \pm 5.5 (23.9 - 56.8)
Race [n (%)]			
Caucasian	552 (85)	339 (83)	213 (87)
African-American	27 (4)	18 (4)	9 (4)
Asian	43 (7)	28 (7)	15 (6)
Other	31 (5)	23 (6)	8 (3)
BMI (mean \pm SD) ^a (range)	25.9 \pm 4.9 (16.5 - 49.0)	24.9 \pm 5.0 (16.5 - 49.0)	27.5 \pm 4.3 (19.3 - 47.9)
BMI at enrollment [n (%)] ^a			
Underweight (<18.5)	6 (1)	6 (2)	0 (0)
Normal (18.5-24.9)	318 (49)	249 (61)	69 (28)
Overweight (25-29.9)	210 (32)	89 (22)	121 (50)
Obese (\geq 30)	116 (18)	62 (15)	54 (22)
Education [n (%)] ^b			
Did not graduate from college	62 (13)	31 (10)	31 (18)
College graduate	164 (34)	107 (34)	57 (32)
Graduate degree	263 (54)	174 (56)	89 (50)

^a BMI N=650

^b Education N=489

Table 2. Distribution of urinary paraben concentrations (µg/L) (N=2721 samples) among 653 study participants^a

Individual Samples									Within-person GM ^b					
Analyte	N	% Detect ^c	GM	Min	25 th %tile	50 th %tile	75 th %tile	Max	N	Min	25 th %tile	50 th %tile	75 th %tile	Max
Methyl Paraben														
All subjects	2721	99.7%	100	<LOD	31.3	112	354	23200	653	<LOD	30.4	82.2	236	7110
Gender														
Female	2128	99.9%	137	<LOD	48.8	155	422	15100	408	2.56	55.1	149	299	7110
Male	593	99.3%	33.0	<LOD	10.0	29.0	96.7	23200	245	<LOD	12.8	31.2	81.0	2880
Race														
Caucasian	2320	99.7%	97.1	<LOD	29.9	104	332	23200	552	<LOD	28.0	75.3	219	7110
African-American	87	100%	343	8.10	158	362	868	4730	27	57.6	158	340	907	3880
Asian	164	100%	92.2	1.20	28.5	104	346	1860	43	4.57	25.1	91.0	241	1073
Other	150	100%	92.3	1.80	31.1	96.4	319	3330	31	6.00	37.9	97.0	204	1308
Propyl Paraben														
All subjects	2721	96.5%	17.9	<LOD	4.00	24.2	90.2	2870	653	<LOD	3.49	15.4	53.1	2510
Gender														
Female	2128	98.3%	27.5	<LOD	7.90	34.3	118	2870	408	<LOD	9.75	28.1	78.9	2510
Male	593	90.2%	3.82	<LOD	0.80	3.10	16.8	1170	245	<LOD	0.84	3.30	15.2	667
Race														
Caucasian	2320	96.8%	17.7	<LOD	4.00	23.6	87.0	2550	552	<LOD	3.24	14.6	47.8	2510
African-American	87	100%	63.0	1.30	22.4	88.4	198	1170	27	2.00	15.9	95.6	177	318
Asian	164	95.7%	16.3	<LOD	3.85	22.2	93.2	909	43	0.24	3.00	18.9	40.6	370
Other	150	90.7%	10.8	<LOD	1.80	13.2	84.3	2870	31	<LOD	3.60	10.9	52.8	467
Butyl Paraben														
All subjects	2721	65.4%	1.08	<LOD	<LOD	0.70	5.40	998	653	<LOD	<LOD	0.59	2.80	208
Gender														
Female	2128	73.6%	1.48	<LOD	<LOD	1.20	7.65	595	408	<LOD	0.35	1.30	4.47	128
Male	593	35.9%	0.35	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.50	998	245	<LOD	<LOD	<LO	0.70	208
Race														
Caucasian	2320	65.8%	1.11	<LOD	<LOD	0.70	5.80	998	552	<LOD	<LOD	0.59	2.80	208
African-American	87	77.0%	1.34	<LOD	0.20	1.10	6.10	93.8	27	<LOD	<LOD	0.96	4.09	27.3
Asian	164	57.9%	0.88	<LOD	<LOD	0.35	3.75	194	43	<LOD	<LOD	0.44	2.19	95.1
Other	150	61.3%	0.77	<LOD	<LOD	0.50	2.80	112	31	<LOD	<LOD	0.44	2.19	95.1

Table 2. (continued)

Abbreviations: GM=Geometric Mean; Min=Minimum; Max=Maximum; LOD=Limit of Detection; NA=Not available; %tile=percentile

^aValues were not corrected for specific gravity to facilitate comparison with other studies

^bThe within-person GM was used as a summary exposure measure for each subject

^cLOD: MP=1 µg/L; PP=0.2 µg/L; BP=0.2 µg/L

Table 3. Relative change (95% CI) in urinary paraben concentrations as a function of demographic and anthropometric predictors from a multivariate regression model

		MP	PP
Parameters	N subjects (samples) ^a	Relative change (95% CI) ^b	Relative change (95% CI) ^b
Sex			
Female	405 (2104)	4.55 (3.73, 5.56)	7.81 (6.00, 10.2)
Male	243 (589)	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
Race			
African-American	27 (87)	3.84 (2.40, 6.13)	3.80 (2.05, 7.06)
Asian	43 (163)	0.99 (0.69, 1.43)	0.93 (0.57, 1.49)
Other	31 (149)	1.00 (0.66, 1.51)	0.74 (0.43, 1.28)
Caucasian	547 (2294)	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
BMI			
Obese (≥30)	116 (423)	0.79 (0.61, 1.01)	0.77 (0.55, 1.07)
Overweight (25-29.9)	210 (778)	0.95 (0.77, 1.16)	0.91 (0.69, 1.19)
Normal (18.5-24.9)	316 (1457)	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)
Underweight (<18.5)	6 (35)	1.42 (0.57, 3.57)	1.46 (0.43, 4.92)

^aN=648 subjects and 2693 urine samples (reduced sample size due to missing BMI and SG values)

^bExponentiated adjusted parameter estimates from multivariate regression models (including sex, race and BMI) are presented due to natural log transformation of the outcome and can be interpreted as a relative (times) change from the reference category of the predictor variable; Each paraben is modeled separately.

Table 4. Relationship between within-woman geometric mean pre-pregnancy and pregnancy urinary paraben concentrations among 129 women^a

Analyte	Uncorrected Median (IQR)		SG-corrected Median (IQR)		Relative change (95% CI) ^b
	Pre-pregnancy	Pregnancy	Pre-pregnancy	Pregnancy	
Methyl Paraben (µg/L)	162 (63.6, 334)	135 (51.3, 287)	201 (103, 400)	185 (69.3, 348)	0.75 (0.64, 0.88)
Propyl Paraben (µg/L)	36.1 (13.6, 78.2)	22.8 (7.33, 75.2)	46.4 (20.1, 98.3)	36.3 (10.3, 89.9)	0.68 (0.56, 0.82)
Butyl Paraben (µg/L)	2.39 (0.45, 5.45)	0.88 (0.25, 2.88)	2.96 (0.73, 8.36)	1.23 (0.42, 4.03)	0.55 (0.45, 0.67)

Abbreviation: IQR = Interquartile Range

^aThe median of the within-woman GM paraben urinary concentrations are presented (N=129 women contributing 575 urine samples pre-pregnancy; N=129 women contributing 337 urine samples during pregnancy)

^bResults from a mixed-effects linear regression model evaluating the change in SG-corrected MP, PP, and BP during pregnancy compared to before pregnancy (no additional covariates were included in the model). The relative change from the reference category (pre-pregnancy) is presented. (N=912 urine samples were included in the model: 575 pre-pregnancy and 337 during pregnancy)

Table 5. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of a trimester-specific urinary paraben concentration to predict the highest tertile of the GM gestational urinary paraben concentration^a

Trimester ^b	N ^b	MP			PP			BP		
		Se	Sp	PPV	Se	Sp	PPV	Se	Sp	PPV
1 st	120	0.73	0.86	0.73	0.63	0.82	0.65	0.70	0.85	0.70
2 nd	121	0.73	0.86	0.73	0.73	0.86	0.73	0.73	0.86	0.73
3 rd	86	0.64	0.79	0.55	0.63	0.80	0.59	0.83	0.93	0.86

Abbreviations: Se=Sensitivity; Sp=Specificity; PPV=Positive Predictive Value

^aThe gold-standard is the geometric mean gestational urinary paraben concentration (2-3 urine samples per subject). Classification probabilities are based on the highest versus 2 lowest tertiles of gestational or trimester-specific urinary paraben concentrations.

^bThere is a maximum of 1 urine sample included per subject per trimester; N=126 women included in analysis